

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
10/709,420	05/04/2004	Youssef Hamidieh	81098863 / FMC 1747 PUS 3419 EXAMINER	
28395	7590 04/21/2006			
BROOKS KUSHMAN P.C./FGTL			CHAPMAN JR, JOHN E	
1000 TOWN (22ND FLOOF			ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER	
SOUTHFIELI	O, MI 48075-1238		2856	
•			DATE MAILED: 04/21/2006	5

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)	 -
	10/709,420	HAMIDIEH ET AL.	RU
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	John E. Chapman	2856	•
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet wi	th the correspondence address	s
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY	VIC SET TO EVOIDE 2 MA	ONTU/S) OD TUIDTV (20) DA	۸ ۷ ۵ ·
 WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). 	ATE OF THIS COMMUNIC 36(a). In no event, however, may a re will apply and will expire SIX (6) MON , cause the application to become AB	CATION. Eply be timely filed THS from the mailing date of this commun ANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status	•		
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 27 Fe	ebruary 2006.	•	
2a)⊠ This action is FINAL. 2b)☐ This	action is non-final.	•	
3) Since this application is in condition for allowar	nce except for formal matte	ers, prosecution as to the mer	rits is
closed in accordance with the practice under E	Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D.	. 11, 453 O.G. 213.	
Disposition of Claims			
4) Claim(s) 1-23 is/are pending in the application.			
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdray	vn from consideration.		
5)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-15,22 and 23</u> is/are allowed.			
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>16-21</u> is/are rejected.			
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.	· alastian vasuiransant	•	
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	r election requirement.		•
Application Papers			
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine	r.	•	
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acce			•
Applicant may not request that any objection to the			40440
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct		•	
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	ammer. Note the attached	TOTICE ACTION OF TOTIL PTO-13	JZ.
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	priority under 35 U.S.C. §	119(a)-(d) or (f).	•
a) All b) Some * c) None of:			
1. Certified copies of the priority documents		nalication No	
2. Certified copies of the priority documents3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior			ı A
application from the International Bureau	•	received in this Hational Otag	C
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	received.	
	·		
Attachment(s)			
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)		ummary (PTO-413) s)/Mail Date	
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date <u>2/27/06</u> .	□ ·	formal Patent Application (PTO-152))

DETAILED ACTION

1. The text of those sections of Title 35, U.S. Code not included in this action can be found in a prior Office action.

2. Claims 16-18 and 21 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as anticipated by or, in the alternative, under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as obvious over Pomernacki (4,252,023).

Pomernacki discloses a checking system for detecting anomalies in a moveable component (gear 10 or 12), comprising an actuator 40 to operate (rotate) the component at a predetermined speed (col. 2, line 9); a structure (42) for supporting the component (gear 12) while the component is being rotated, the structure having a modal frequency (i.e., resonant frequency) approximately the same as the anomaly (tooth-to-tooth) frequency (col. 2, lines 8-13), and a sensor (68) for measuring values of a response parameter (acceleration).

While claim 16 recites that the checking system anomaly frequency should be different from the assembly anomaly frequency, such limitation reflects the intended use of the component and fails to structurally distinguish the apparatus. Whether the anomaly frequency of the gear (10 or 12) when subsequently installed in an assembly is greater or less than the anomaly frequency in the checking system does not affect the structure of the checking system. The checking system of Pomernacki is inherently capable of detecting anomalies in gears that are subsequently operated in an assembly at speeds that are different from that in the gear checking system. Furthermore, it would have been obvious to detect anomalies in gears that are subsequently operated in an assembly at speeds that are different from that in the gear checking system, for example, in an assembly that is operated at a plurality of speeds.

Regarding the limitation that the checking system have "at least one modal frequency within a predetermined frequency range of the checking system including the at least one checking system anomaly frequency," since the anomaly (tooth-to-tooth) frequency is substantially the same as the modal (resonant) frequency, it is necessarily within a small frequency range of the modal frequency.

Regarding the limitation that the structure be configured "using the at least one checking system anomaly frequency," the patentability of a product does not depend on its method of production. If the product is the same as or obvious from a product of the prior art, the claim is unpatentable even though the prior product was made by a different process." *In re Thorpe*, 777 F.2d 695, 698, 227 USPQ 964, 966 (Fed. Cir. 1985).

Regarding claim 17, the brake 32 comprises a second actuator operable to apply a load to the component (gear 10 or 12).

3. Claims 19 and 20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Pomernacki.

Regarding claim 19, Pomernacki does not discuss the type of gears being noise tested. Nevertheless, it would have been obvious to use the apparatus of Pomernacki to noise test a variety of gears, including a vehicle transmission gears.

Regarding claim 20, Fig. 3 shows single flank contact.

4. Claims 1-15, 22 and 23 are allowed.

Art Unit: 2856

- 5. Applicant's arguments filed February 27, 2006 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. Applicant argues that Pomernacki fails to teach and/or suggest adjusting or tuning the checking system based on a checking system anomaly frequency. However, such limitation is directed to a method of configuring a checking system and fails to structurally distinguish the checking system itself.
- 6. THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

7. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to John E. Chapman whose telephone number is (571) 272-2191. If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Hezron Williams can be reached on (571) 272-2208. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Art Unit: 2856

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Ohn E Chapman Primary Examiner

And Unit 2856